



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,137	03/24/2004	Thomas Laukamm	743050-8	3689

25570 7590 07/29/2008
ROBERTS MLOTKOWSKI SAFRAN & COLE, P.C.
7918 Jones Branch Drive, Suite 500
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064

EXAMINER

WILLIAMS, CLAYTON R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2157

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

07/29/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugh@rmsclaw.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/807,137	Applicant(s) LAUKAMM ET AL.	
	Examiner Clayton R. Williams	Art Unit 2157	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Clayton R. Williams.

(3) David Safran.

(2) Yves Dalencourt.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 July 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Dyer 2002/0099591.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Atty Safran discussed what he believes distinguishes the claimed invention over the prior art. Thereafter, Atty Safran admitted that claims, as presented, did not embody what he believes to be applicant's invention. Examiner Williams will take appropriate action in regard to additional filings by Atty Safran..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Yves Dalencourt/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2157

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required